

1 **TITLE:**

2 Safe Experimentation in Optical Levitation of Charged Droplets Using Remote Labs

3

4 **AUTHORS & AFFILIATIONS:**

5 Daniel Galán¹, Oscar Isaksson², Jonas Enger², Mats Rostedt², Andreas Johansson², Dag Hanstorp²,
6 Luis de la Torre¹

7

8 ¹Departamento de Informática y Automática, UNED, Madrid, Spain

9 ²Department of Physics, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

10

11 **E-MAIL ADDRESSES:**

12 Daniel Galán (dgalan@dia.uned.es)

13 Oscar Isaksson (oscar.isaksson@chalmers.se)

14 Jonas Enger (Jonas.Enger@physics.gu.se)

15 Mats Rostedt (mats.rostedt@physics.gu.se)

16 Andreas Johansson (andreas.johansson@physics.gu.se)

17 Dag Hanstorp (dag.hanstorp@physics.gu.se)

18 Luis de la Torre (ldelatorre@dia.uned.es)

19

20 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

21 Daniel Galán (dgalan@dia.uned.es)

22

23 **KEYWORDS:**

24 Optical Levitation, Remote Laboratory, Laser, Photon Pressure, Diffraction, Electrical Fields,
25 Liquid Droplets

26

27 **SUMMARY:**

28 Optical levitation is a method for levitating micrometer-sized dielectric objects using laser light.
29 Utilizing computers and automation systems, an experiment on optical levitation can be
30 controlled remotely. Here, we present a remotely controlled optical levitation system that is used
31 both for educational and research purposes.

32

33 **ABSTRACT:**

34 The work presents an experiment that allows the study of many fundamental physical processes,
35 such as photon pressure, diffraction of light or the motion of charged particles in electrical fields.
36 In this experiment, a focused laser beam pointing upwards levitate liquid droplets. The droplets
37 are levitated by the photon pressure of the focused laser beam which balances the gravitational
38 force. The diffraction pattern created when illuminated with laser light can help measure the size
39 of a trapped droplet. The charge of the trapped droplet can be determined by studying its motion
40 when a vertically directed electrical field is applied. There are several reasons motivating this
41 experiment to be remotely controlled. The investments required for the setup exceeds the
42 amount normally available in undergraduate teaching laboratories. The experiment requires a
43 laser of Class 4, which is harmful to both skin and eyes and the experiment uses voltages that are
44 harmful.

45

46 **INTRODUCTION:**

47 The fact that light carries momentum was first suggested by Kepler when he explained why the
48 tail of a comet always points away from the sun. The use of a laser to move and trap macroscopic
49 objects was first reported by A. Ashkin and J. M. Dziedzic in 1971 when they demonstrated that
50 it is possible to levitate micrometer sized dielectric objects¹. The trapped object was exposed to
51 an upward directed laser beam. Part of the laser beam was reflected on the object which imposed
52 a radiation pressure on it that was sufficient to counterbalance gravity. Most of the light,
53 however, was refracted through the dielectric object. The change of the direction of the light
54 causes a recoil of the object. The net effect of the recoil for a particle placed in a Gaussian beam
55 profile is that the droplet will move towards the region of highest light intensity². Hence, a stable
56 trapping position is created in the center of the laser beam at a position slightly above the focal
57 point where radiation pressure balances gravity.

58

59 Since the optical levitation method allows small objects to be trapped and controlled without
60 being in contact with any objects, different physical phenomena can be studied using a levitated
61 droplet. However, the experiment presents two limitations to be reproduced and applied at
62 schools or universities since not all institutions can afford the required equipment and since there
63 are certain risks in the hands-on operation of the laser.

64

65 Remote laboratories (RLs) offer online remote access to the real laboratory equipment for
66 experimental activities. RLs first appeared at the end of the 90s, with the advent of the Internet,
67 and their importance and use have been growing over the years, as the technology has
68 progressed and some of their major concerns have been solved³. However, the core of RLs has
69 remained the same over time: the use of an electronic device with Internet connection to access
70 a lab, and control and monitor an experiment.

71

72 Due to their remote nature, RLs can be used to offer experimental activities to users without
73 exposing them to the risks that may be associated with the realization of such experiments. These
74 tools allow students to spend more time working with laboratory equipment, and hence develop
75 better laboratory skills. Other advantages of RLs are that they 1) facilitate for handicapped people
76 to perform experimental work, 2) expand the catalog of experiments offered to students by
77 sharing RLs between universities and 3) increase the flexibility in scheduling laboratory work,
78 since it can be performed from home when a physical laboratory is closed. Finally, RLs also offer
79 training in operating computer-controlled systems, which nowadays are an important part of
80 research, development and industry. Therefore, RLs cannot only offer a solution to both the
81 financial and safety issues that traditional labs present, but also provide more interesting
82 experimental opportunities.

83

84 With the experimental setup used in this work, it is possible to measure the size and charge of a
85 trapped droplet, investigate the motion of charged particles in electric fields and analyze how a
86 radioactive source can be used to change the charge on a droplet⁴.

87

88 In the experimental setup presented, a powerful laser is directed upwards and focused into the

89 center of a glass cell⁴. The laser is a 2 W 532 nm diode-pumped solid-state laser (CW), where
90 usually about 1 Watt (W) is used. The focal length of the trapping lens is 3.0 cm. Droplets are
91 generated with a piezo droplet dispenser and descend through the laser beam until they are
92 trapped just above the focus of the laser. Trapping occurs when the force from the upward
93 directed radiation pressure is equal to the downward directed gravitational force. There is no
94 upper time limit observed for trapping. The longest time a droplet has been trapped is 9 hours,
95 thereafter, the trap was turned off. The interaction between the droplet and the laser field
96 produces a diffraction pattern which is used to determine the size of the droplets.

97
98 The droplets emitted from the dispenser consist of 10% glycerol and 90% water. The water part
99 quickly evaporates, leaving a 20 to 30 μm sized glycerol droplet in the trap. The maximum size of
100 a droplet that can be trapped is about 40 μm . There is no evaporation observed after about 10 s.
101 At this point, all water is expected to have evaporated. The long trapping time without any
102 observable evaporation indicates that there is minimal absorption and that the droplet
103 essentially is at room temperature. The surface tension of the droplets makes them spherical.
104 The charge of the droplets generated by the droplet dispenser depends on the environmental
105 conditions in the laboratory, where they most commonly become negatively charged. The top
106 and the bottom of the trapping cell consists of two electrodes placed 25 mm apart. They can be
107 used to apply a vertical electric direct current (DC) or alternating current (AC) field over the
108 droplet. The electric field is not strong enough to create any arcs even if 1000 volts (V) is applied
109 over the electrodes. If a DC field is used, the droplet moves up or down in the laser beam to a
110 new stable equilibrium position. If an AC field is applied instead, the droplet oscillates around its
111 equilibrium position. The magnitude of the oscillations depends on the size and charge of the
112 droplet, on the intensity of the electric field, and on the stiffness of the laser trap. An image of
113 the droplet is projected onto a position-sensitive detector (PSD), which allows users to track the
114 vertical position of the droplet.

115
116 This work presents a successful initiative of modernizing teaching and research using Information
117 and Communication Technologies through an innovative RL on optical levitation of charged
118 droplets which illustrates modern concepts in physics. **Figure 1** shows the architecture of the RL.
119 **Table 1** shows the possible injuries that lasers can cause according to their class; In this setup, a
120 Class IV laser has been used, which is the most dangerous one. It can operate with up to 2.0 W
121 of visible laser radiation, so the safety provided by the remote operation is clearly suitable for
122 this experiment. The optical levitation of charged droplets RL was presented in the work of D.
123 Galan *et al.* in 2018⁵. In this work, it is demonstrated how it can be used online by teachers who
124 want to introduce their students to modern concepts of physics without having to be concerned
125 about the costs, the logistics or the safety issues. Students access the RL through a web portal
126 called University Network of Interactive Laboratories (UNILabs - <https://unilabs.dia.uned.es>) in
127 which they can find all the documentation regarding the theory related to the experiment and
128 the use of the experimental setup by means of a web application. By using the concept of a
129 remote laboratory, experimental work in modern physics that requires costly and dangerous
130 equipment can be made available to new groups of students. Furthermore, it enhances the
131 formal learning by providing traditional students with more laboratory time and with
132 experiments that normally are inaccessible outside research laboratories.

133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176

PROTOCOL:

NOTE: The laser used in this experiment is a class IV laser delivering up to 1 W of visible laser radiation. All personnel present in the laser laboratory must have conducted adequate laser safety training.

1. Hands-On Experimental Protocol

1.1. Safety

1.1.1. Make sure everyone in the lab is aware that a laser will be turned on.

1.1.2. Turn on the laser warning lamp in the lab.

1.1.3. Check that no watch or metal rings are worn and put on the laser goggles.

1.1.4. Check that the four light absorbing boards, closest to the experiment, are in place.

1.1.5. Check the space between the laser and the absorbing board for obstacles. Also check that the space between the trapping cell and the beam block is free from objects.

1.2. Prepare the software and the experiment.

1.2.1. Turn on the lab computer. Wait until it is ready to operate.

1.2.2. Open the **Remote Startup** folder from the desktop and click the icon **Main1806.vi**. Run the program by pressing the arrow in the top left corner.

NOTE: This opens the control program (*e.g.*, Labview) shown in **Figure 2** and **Figure 3** and automatically turns on both the power supply for the laser and the electric field. All buttons referenced from now on in this section refer to those that appear in these figures.

1.2.3. Under **“EJS variables”**, mark the checkbox named **“Laser Remote Enable2”** power and set **“laser current2”** to 25 so that the laser power slide to the right ends up at 25%. Observe the laser beam using alignment laser goggles to make sure that the beam ends up in the beam dump. If not, adjust the position of the beam dump.

1.2.4. Check **Drops2** and move the tip of the droplet dispenser until the droplets are falling into the laser beam. Do this by adjusting the translation stage marked with letter A in **Figure 4**. For that purpose, gently turn the driving screws at the base of the translation stage until the desired position is reached.

1.2.4.1. If no drops are coming, apply some pressure in the syringe until a droplet is shown in the

177 tip of the dispenser. Wipe it off carefully (fragile tip) using a paper with acetone. The droplets
178 should now start coming. When this occurs, start over from point 1.2.4.

179
180 1.2.5. Raise the laser power to about 66% using the **Laser Current 2** input field and trap a droplet.
181 Uncheck **Drops2** as soon as a droplet is trapped.

182
183 NOTE: The trapped droplet is now imaged onto the PSD.

184
185 1.3. Determine the size of a droplet.

186
187 1.3.1. Adjust the laser power until the PSD position is as close as possible to zero.

188
189 NOTE: As droplets can be trapped below or above previous trapping positions, depending on the
190 laser power or the size/weight. This step is performed to move the droplet image to the center
191 of the PSD.

192
193 1.3.2. Observe the diffraction pattern created on the screen (see **Figure 1**). Take a picture with
194 the web camera that is positioned to observe the screen from underneath.

195
196 NOTE: The pattern is caused by laser light diffracted by the trapped droplet.

197
198 1.3.3. Use the picture to determine distances from the line marked 1 to two arbitrary minima in
199 the image. The distance is positive if it is further from the droplet than the line marked 1, else
200 negative. Then, add 40 cm to both distances. Call the shortest a_1 , and the longest a_2 . Use Equation
201 1 to calculate the size of the droplet:

202
203

$$d = \frac{\Delta n \cdot \lambda}{\frac{a_2}{\sqrt{x^2 + a_2^2}} - \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{x^2 + a_1^2}}} \quad (1)$$

204
205
206 where, x is the vertical distance from the droplet to the screen ($x=23.5$ cm), λ is the wavelength
207 of the laser light ($\lambda = 532$ nm) and Δn is the number of fringes (integer) between the two minima
208 used in the calculation.

209
210 NOTE: When the droplet is imaged in the middle of the PSD, the distance (x), from the droplet to
211 the screen is 23.5 ± 0.1 cm. A more detailed explanation of the process can be found in the work
212 of J. Swithenbank *et al.* ⁶.

213
214 1.4. Determine the polarity of the charge of the droplet.

215
216 1.4.1 Choose the tab **run** to the right of **EJS variables** and set the **E-Field DC control2** to +2 V (see
217 **Figure 3**). Be careful, since the voltage on the electrode is now 200 V.

218

219 NOTE: The polarity of the droplet charge is determined by observing how the droplet respond to
220 an applied vertical electric field. A sketch of how the electric field is applied can be seen in **Figure**
221 **5**.

222

223 1.5. Determine the charge of the droplet

224

225 NOTE: To calculate the charge of the droplet, it is necessary first to measure the size of the
226 droplet. The weight of the droplet can then be determined since the density of the liquid is
227 known. **Figure 6** describes the procedure schematically.

228

229 1.5.1. Set the **E-field DC control2** to zero.

230

231 1.5.2. Estimate and note an average value for the position of the droplet by the **PSD Normalize**
232 **Position** trace in the **Chart Waveform**.

233

234 1.5.3. Note the value of the laser power. This value will be F_{Rad1} in Equation 2.

235

236 1.5.4. Set the **E-field DC control2** to + 5V or -5V such that the droplet moves upwards. The droplet
237 is now at a new position. Slowly reduce the laser power until the droplet is back in its original
238 position as noted in Step 1.5.2. Write down the new laser power (F_{Rad2}).

239 If the droplet is lost, check **Drops2** and start over from Step 1.2.4.

240

241 1.5.5. Use the following procedure to calculate the charge. First, calculate the force from the
242 electric field:

243

$$244 F_E = \left(\frac{F_{Rad1} - F_{Rad2}}{F_{Rad1}} \right) F_{mg} \quad (2)$$

245

246 1.5.6. Determine the absolute charge using the expression

247

$$248 Q = \frac{F_E d}{U} \quad (3)$$

249

250 Here d is the distance between the electrodes and U is the applied voltage.

251

252 **2. Remote Experimentation Protocol**

253

254 2.1. Access the remote laboratory.

255

256 2.1.1. Open UNILabs webpage on a web browser: <https://unilabs.dia.uned.es/>

257

258 2.1.2. Select the desired language if needed. The option is found at the first item of the menu
259 under the header.

260

261 2.1.3. Log in with the following data:

262 Username: test

263 Password: test

264

265 NOTE: The login frame is under the news and introduction info of the webpage.

266

267 2.1.3. In the course area, next to the login area, left click on the logo of the University of
268 Gothenburg (GU).

269

270 2.1.4. Click on **Optical Levitation** to access the material of this experiment.

271

272 2.1.5. Access the remote laboratory by clicking on **Remote Laboratory of Optical Levitation**. After
273 that, ensure that the main frame of the webpage show the user interface of the remote
274 laboratory, as shown in **Figure 7**.

275

276 2.2. Connect to the Optical Levitation laboratory.

277

278 NOTE: All the instructions here refer to **Figure 7**.

279

280 2.2.1. Click on the **Connect** button. If the connection is successful, the button text will change to
281 **Connected**.

282

283 NOTE: When a user connects to the remote laboratory, it emits an acoustic signal that warns
284 other people in the surrounding area that someone will power on and manipulate the laser
285 remotely.

286

287 2.2.2. Click on **Tracking droplets** and check that the PSD data is being received.

288

289 NOTE: As there are no droplets captured at this point, the value obtained is not relevant.

290

291 2.2.3. Click on **General view** to identify all elements of the setup: the laser, the droplet dispenser,
292 the trapping cell and the PSD.

293

294 2.3. Trap a droplet.

295

296 NOTE: All the instructions here refer to **Figure 7**.

297

298 2.3.1. Once the remote laboratory is connected, click on the **Trapping droplets** button to visualize
299 the pipette and the droplet dispenser nozzle.

300

301 2.3.2. Click on the **Turn on laser** button to establish the connection to the laser.

302

303 NOTE: The laser is started manually and independently of the rest of the instruments because it
304 can damage the environment if it is not correctly aligned.

305

306 2.3.3. Set the laser power around the first quarter of the control strip, which is situated under
307 the **Turn on laser** button. Wait until the green light is visible.

308
309 2.3.4. Check the laser alignment.

310
311 NOTE: If the laser is correctly aligned, a thin green light beam will be seen. Otherwise, a scattered
312 green spot will be perceived. In case of incorrect alignment, shut down the system, and contact
313 the lab maintenance services. To contact the maintenance services, click on the icon that
314 represents a speech bubble, located in the upper left corner of UNILabs webpage. Then click on
315 the **Admin user** message, write down the message at the bottom describing the problem and
316 press **Send**. This usually does not happen, since all the optics are fixed.

317
318 2.3.5. Increase the laser power to 3/4 of the bar.

319
320 NOTE: A power of 60% (550 mW) is enough to capture and keep a droplet levitated.

321
322 2.3.6. Press the **Start drops** button to turn on the droplet dispenser.

323
324 2.3.7. Watch the webcam image and wait until a flash is produced. At that moment, a droplet has
325 been captured. Check the webcam image again and verify that a droplet is levitating in the center
326 of the trapping cell. Press the **Stop drops** button to turn off the droplet dispenser.

327
328 NOTE: Optionally, it is possible to obtain a larger droplet by catching several of them and waiting
329 for them to merge with the one already captured. It is necessary to bear in mind that if several
330 are caught, the droplet mass increases so that the laser power may not be enough to keep it
331 levitated.

332
333 2.4. Determine the size of a droplet.

334
335 NOTE: All instructions here refer to **Figure 8**.

336
337 2.4.1. Press the **Sizing droplets** button to observe the diffraction pattern formed by the trapped
338 droplet.

339
340 2.4.2. Follow the same procedure as in the hands-on experimentation protocol (Step 1.3) to
341 determine the size of the droplet by means of the diffraction pattern.

342
343 2.5. Determining the droplet charge polarity.

344
345 NOTE: All instructions here refer to **Figure 9**.

346
347 2.5.1. Click on the **Tracking droplets** button to view the PSD graph and the webcam view of the
348 pipette.

349

- 350 2.5.2. Click on the **Electric Field** tab at the bottom left of the user interface.
351
- 352 2.5.3. Set the DC voltage to 100 V. To do this, click on the numeric field to the right of the **DC (V)**
353 label and enter the value 100.
354
- 355 2.5.4. Check the PSD graph showing the position of the droplet and observe whether the droplet
356 moves upwards or downwards when the electrical field is applied.
357
- 358 NOTE: The polarity of the plates is arranged so that if a positive voltage is applied, a negatively
359 charged droplet will move downwards and a positively charged droplet will move upwards.
360
- 361 2.5.6. Now change the value of the electric field and check that the droplet moves in the opposite
362 direction; for this purpose, enter -100 in the **DC (V)** numeric field.
363
- 364 2.6. Determine the charge of the droplet.
365
- 366 NOTE: All instructions here refer to **Figure 9**.
367
- 368 2.6.1. Having a droplet trapped, click on the **Tracking droplets** view.
369
- 370 2.6.2. Select the **Electric Field** menu.
371
- 372 2.6.3. Set the DC electric field to zero with the **DC (V)** numeric field.
373
- 374 2.6.4. Estimate and note an average value of the droplet position given by the chart and note the
375 laser power.
376
- 377 2.6.5. Set the DC electric field to a value between +500 V and -500 V to make the droplet change
378 its position.
379
- 380 2.6.6. Reduce or increase the laser power with the slider until the droplet is back in its original
381 position and write down the new value of the laser power.
382
- 383 2.6.7. Follow the procedure described in Step 1.5.5 to calculate the droplet charge.
384

385 **REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:**

386 When the laser beam is well aligned, and the bottom plate is clean, the drops are almost
387 immediately trapped. When a droplet is trapped it can stay in the trap for several hours, giving
388 plenty of time for investigations. The radius r of the droplets is in the range of $25 \leq r \leq 35 \mu\text{m}$ and
389 the charge has been measured between $1.1 \times 10^{-17} \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ C}$ and $5.5 \times 10^{-16} \pm 5.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ C}$. The size
390 of the droplets stays, according to our measurements, constant over time, but the charge will
391 slowly diffuse away, giving smaller and smaller reactions from the position of the droplet when
392 applying an electric field. This gives the user a chance to measure different charges on the same
393 droplet if he or she is patient enough.

394

395 The remote laboratory has been developed using Easy Java/JavaScript Simulations⁷ and is
396 accessible via the UNILabs website⁸. As for the local control software of the laboratory, it has
397 been developed using the control software program. The connection of the remote and local
398 software has been developed following the, widely tested, work of D. Chaos *et al.*⁹. The idea of
399 creating a remote laboratory for optical droplet levitation is based on two pillars: 1) to allow
400 researchers from other parts of the world who do not have this setup to work with it and 2) to
401 make this type of experiment available to Physics students.

402

403 The environment has been extensively tested both locally and remotely to support the
404 researchers work. It has been shown that droplet capture can take between 2 seconds and 1
405 minute. This variation is due to pipette cleaning and laser alignment. For this reason, a small
406 amount of maintenance is carried out every day to enable the laboratory to function correctly.
407 Once the droplet has been captured, it can withstand levitating for long periods of time, reaching
408 more than half an hour, a period sufficient to perform all the tasks that the system provides. The
409 fact that several drops can collapse and be trapped, enables users to quickly check the correction
410 of the protocols relating to the calculation of mass and electrical charge, as the difference in the
411 results between two drops collapsed, and a single drop is more significant than if they only
412 compare two unique droplets caught at different moments. In addition, given the stability and
413 reconfigurability of the environment, it serves as a basis for adding new instrumentation and thus
414 enabling new functionality. An example of this fact is an analysis, being carried out nowadays at
415 the University of Gothenburg, to study the influence of radioactive samples on the phenomenon
416 of optical levitation.

417

418 The only effective way to allow many students to access this type of experience is through a
419 remote laboratory, mainly for security reasons. Also, research such as that of Lundgren *et al.*
420 shows that students' experience of working with a remote laboratory is as useful as that of a
421 traditional laboratory¹⁰. The environment allows younger students to discover the concept of
422 optical levitation by observing how the laser beam can effectively levitate matter. The teacher
423 can also introduce electric charge to the students by studying the polarity of the droplets. For
424 more advanced students, the calculation of the droplet mass and charge can be included in the
425 work protocol.

426

427 This laboratory has been used in a physics class in Halmstad, Sweden, with students from the
428 International Baccalaureate (IB) Diploma Program (www.ibo.org). The teacher followed the
429 remote protocol described in Step 2. After the experience, the students were interviewed by
430 asking them questions about the environment, the measurements made, the underlying physical
431 concepts they had learned, and the benefits and disadvantages they perceived from using the
432 remote laboratory. Overall, the students understood the process followed and calculated the size
433 of the drops, obtaining results close to the real size of the trapped drop. They understood the
434 risks involved in using high-powered lasers, and some suggested adding improvements to the
435 visualization of the experiment, such as buying better cameras or including augmented reality
436 elements.

437

438 **FIGURE LEGENDS:**

439

440 **Figure 1: Architecture of the remote laboratory experimentation.** Internet users connect to the
441 UNILabs webpage using their computer or mobile devices. The web environment serves the
442 remote lab JavaScript application that allows to remotely operate the experiment. This
443 application connects to a computer located in the laboratory through the JIL server middleware,
444 which enables the communication between JavaScript applications and LabVIEW programs.
445 Finally, the lab computer communicates with the experimental setup using the necessary DAQ
446 cards and a LabVIEW program.

447

448 **Figure 2: LabView program: Configuration panel.** The configuration tab in the LabView program
449 is used in hands-on mode experimentation for starting the experiment by turning on the laser on
450 and starting the droplets.

451

452 **Figure 3: LabView program: Run panel.** The configuration tab in the LabView program is used in
453 hands-on mode experimentation for determining the charge of the trapped droplets.

454

455 **Figure 4: Detail of the experimental setup.** The droplet dispenser is shown at the top of the
456 image, the cell in the middle and, at the bottom, the web camera. Letter A: the translation stage
457 used to adjust the position of the dispenser inside the cell. Letter B: The lens used by the PSD to
458 perceive the trapped droplet.

459

460 **Figure 5: Electrode configuration for applying electrical fields.** Experimental setup for applying
461 the electric field onto the droplet. When a positive voltage is applied, negative charged droplets
462 will move downwards and droplets with positive charge will move upwards.

463

464 **Figure 6: Determination of droplets charge.** A schematic sketch of the procedure to determine
465 the absolute charge of an optically levitated droplet.

466

467 **Figure 7: Remote lab interface: trapping a droplet.** In remote experimentation, this web
468 application interface is used to trap a droplet. A trapped droplet can be seen in the image
469 provided by the lab webcam due to the scattered light.

470

471 **Figure 8: Remote lab interface: sizing a droplet.** In remote experimentation, this web application
472 interface is used to determine the size of a trapped droplet. The diffraction pattern displayed by
473 the lab webcam and the scale allow users to determine the size of the trapped droplet.

474

475 **Figure 9: Remote lab interface: applying an electric field.** In remote experimentation, this web
476 application interface is used to apply an electric field to the trapped droplet. In this example, a
477 200 V AC electric field is applied. The lab PSD signal is displayed on the graph at the right and it
478 shows the oscillating movement of the droplet following an electric field change which was
479 applied at around $t = 10$ s.

480

481 **Table 1: Laser classification summary.** The different lasers on the market can be classified

482 according to their hazardousness and the risks involved in their use. The table shows the different
483 types of lasers available (in the left column) and their potential danger (in the right column).

484

485 **DISCUSSION:**

486 This work presents a setup for carrying out a modern physics experiment in which droplets are
487 optically levitated. The experiment can be performed either in a traditional hands-on way or
488 remotely. With the remote system establishment, students and researchers all over the world
489 can get access to the experimental set-up. This also guarantees the users' safety, since they do
490 not need to be in presence of the high-power laser and electric fields required for the experiment.
491 In addition, the users can interact with the instrumentation in a very simple way, by sending high-
492 level commands via the computer due to the automation of the set-up. When compared to the
493 hands-on procedure, the remote experimentation offers a very similar experience. One of the
494 key-points of the experiment presented is obtaining the size of the droplets, since it has a big
495 influence on the calculations of the absolute charge. Three different methods have been used to
496 determine the size, and they all agree very well: (1) The method described above (using the
497 diffraction pattern) (2) to oscillate the droplet with a vertical electric field and use the phase
498 difference between the electric field and the position and (3) to visualize the shadow of the
499 droplet on a screen, and with a camera determine the size. The setup is also being prepared for
500 researching trapped droplets in vacuum. First the droplet is trapped in air, then the cell is
501 enclosed, and the air is removed. In this way, it will be possible to investigate the properties of a
502 trapped droplet in vacuum.

503

504 With the presented remote lab, the charge and the size of micrometer-sized dielectric particles
505 can be determined. A further development of the setup has provided a way to study micrometer-
506 sized droplet collisions using high speed cameras¹¹. With the experimental set-up as a base, it has
507 been investigated as a sensitive way to track the position of particles using a Sagnac
508 Interferometer¹². Our method is used to obtain the charge and size of droplets one by one. The
509 measurements take quite some time to perform, so it is mainly a tool to work with single droplets.
510 If the goal is a good statistic capturing of large numbers of droplets, other methods are better,
511 such as the method presented by Polat¹³.

512

513 When the measurements are made, the droplet is released and descends onto the bottom of the
514 cell, unfortunately making the bottom glass dirty. This is a long-term constraint since the laser
515 light can scatter, making harder to trap the next droplet. However, it is easily solved with a
516 periodical cleaning of the cell.

517

518 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

519 This work has been supported by the Swedish Research Council, Carl Trygger's Foundation for
520 Scientific Research and the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under the project
521 CICYT DPI2014-55932-C2-2-R. Thanks to Sannarpsgymnasiet for letting us try the RL with
522 students.

523

524 **DISCLOSURES:**

525 The authors have nothing to disclose.

526

527 **REFERENCES**

- 528 1. Ashkin, A., Dziedzic, J. Optical levitation by radiation pressure. *Applied Physics Letters*. **19**,
529 283 – 285 (1971).
- 530 2. Roosen G., Imbert C. Optical levitation by means of two horizontal laser beams: A
531 theoretical and experimental study. *Physics Letters*. **59** (1), 6 – 8 (1976).
- 532 3. Heradio, R., de la Torre, L., Galan, D., Cabrerizo, F.J., Herrera-Viedma, E., Dormido, S.
533 Virtual and remote labs in education: A bibliometric analysis. *Computers & Education*. **98**,
534 14–38 (2016).
- 535 4. Isaksson, O., Karlsteen, M., Rostedt, M., Hanstorp, D. An optical levitation system for a
536 physics teaching laboratory, *American Journal of Physics*. **88**(10), 88–100 (2018).
- 537 5. Galan, D., Isaksson, O., Rostedt, M., Enger, J., Hanstorp, D., de la Torre, L. A remote
538 laboratory for optical levitation of charged droplets. *European Journal of Physics*. **39** (4),
539 045301 (2018).
- 540 6. Swithenbank, J., Beer, J., Taylor, D., Abbot, D., and McCreath, G. A laser diagnostic
541 technique for the measurement of droplet and particle size distribution. *14th Aerospace*
542 *Sciences Meeting, Aerospace Sciences Meetings* (1976).
- 543 7. Christian W. and Esquembre F. Modeling physics with easy java simulations, *The Physics*
544 *Teacher*. **45**, 475–480 (2007).
- 545 8. de la Torre, L., Sanchez, J., Heradio, R., Carreras, C., Yuste, M., Sanchez, J., and Dormido S.
546 Unedlabs - an example of ejs labs integration into moodle. *World Conference on Physics*
547 *Education* (2012).
- 548 9. Chaos, D., Chacon, J., Lopez-Orozco, J. A., and Dormido S. Virtual and remote robotic
549 laboratory using ejs, matlab and labview. *Sensors* **13**, 2595–2612, ISSN 1424-8220 (2013).
- 550 10. Lundgren, P., Jeppson, K., and Ingerman, A. Lab on the web-looking at different ways of
551 experiencing electronic experiments. *International journal of engineering education*, **22**,
552 308–314 (2006).
- 553 11. Ivanov M., Chang K., Galinskiy I., Mehlig B., Hanstorp D. Optical manipulation for studies
554 of collisional dynamics of micron-sized droplets under gravity, *Optics Express*. **25**, 1391–
555 1404 (2017).
- 556 12. Galinskiy I., *et al.* Measurement of particle motion in optical tweezers embedded in a
557 Sagnac interferometer. *Optics express*. **23**, 27071–27084 (2015).
- 558 13. Polat, M., Polat, H., Chander, S. Electrostatic charge on spray droplets of aqueous
559 surfactant solutions. *Journal of Aerosol Science*. **31**, 551-562 (2000)