



UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Gothenburg University Publications

Are equity aspects communicated in Nordic public health documents?

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:

Scandinavian Journal of Public Health (ISSN: 1403-4948)

Citation for the published paper:

Povlsen, L. ; Eklund Karlsson, L. ; Regber, S. (2014) "Are equity aspects communicated in Nordic public health documents?". *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, vol. 42(3), pp. 235-241.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1403494813520358>

Downloaded from: <http://gup.ub.gu.se/publication/190130>

Notice: This paper has been peer reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or pagination. When citing this work, please refer to the original publication.

Original article

Are equity aspects communicated in Nordic public health documents?

Lene Povlsen, Leena Eklund Karlsson, Susann Regber, Gabriella Sandstig and Elisabeth Fosse

Correspondence to:

Lene Povlsen. Nordic School of Public Health NHV, Box 12133, SE-40242 Göteborg, Sweden. Tel. +46 31 693987; mobile +45 2625 2264; e-mail: lene.povlsen@gmail.com

Leena Eklund Karlsson. University of Southern Denmark, Unit for Health Promotion Research, Niels Bohrs Vej 9, DK-6700 Esbjerg, Denmark. Tel. +45 6550 4158; e-mail: leklund@health.sdu.dk

Susann Regber. Nordic School of Public Health NHV, Box 12133, SE-40242 Göteborg Sweden. Tel. +46 31 693901; e-mail: susann.regber@nhv.se

Gabriella Sandstig. University of Gothenburg, Department of Journalism, Media and Communication, PO Box 710, SE-40530 Göteborg, Sweden. Tel. +46 31 7864794; e-mail: gabriella.sandstig@jmg.gu.se

Elisabeth Fosse. Bergen University, Faculty of Psychology, Department of Health Promotion and Development, PO Box 7800, NO-5020 Bergen, Norway. Tel. +47 5558 2758; e-mail: Elisabeth.Fosse@iuh.uib.no

Abstract

Aims: To explore if the term equity was applied and how measures for addressing social inequalities in health and reducing inequity were communicated in selected Nordic documents concerning public health.

Methods: Documents from Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden were collected and analysed by Nordic authors. Data included material from websites of ministries and authorities responsible for public health issues, with primary focus on steering documents, action programmes and reports from 2001 until spring 2013.

Results: Most strategies applied in Danish, Finnish and Swedish documents focused on the population in general but paid special attention to vulnerable groups. The latest Danish and Finnish documents communicate a clearer commitment to address social inequalities in health. They emphasise the social gradient and the need to address the social determinants in order to improve the position of disadvantaged groups. Norwegian authorities have paid increasing attention to inequity/social inequalities in health and initiated a new law in 2012 which aims to address the social gradient in a more clear way than seen elsewhere in the Nordic countries.

Conclusions: In the Nordic countries, re-distribution by means of universal welfare policies is historically viewed as a vital mechanism to improve the situation of vulnerable groups and level the social gradient. To establish the concept of equity as a strong concern and a core value within health promotion, it is important to be aware how policies can contribute to enable reduction of social health differences.

Keywords: Equity, fairness, justice, social inequality in health, social gradient, public health policy, Nordic countries, document analysis

Introduction

'Equity in health' was identified as one of the key principles of the Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion [1,2]. It is consistent with the WHO call for social justice, 'Health for All', aiming at equality in health between countries as well as between and within populations [3,4].

Inequity in health is considered a consequence of unequal access to health services, education and adequate food and housing, for example [3]. According to Whitehead the concept of inequity has a "moral and ethical dimension and refers to differences which are unnecessary and avoidable, and are also considered unfair and unjust" [5, p.219].

Inequity in health concerns systematic differences in health status between different socioeconomic groups. Within any country, differences in health can be observed across the population. Social inequalities in health include, but are not confined to, worse health among the poor. There is a social gradient, indicating that morbidity and mortality increase with declining social position [6]. According to Dahlgren and Whitehead [7], three distinguishing features, when combined, turn mere variations or differences in health into inequity in health: They are systematic, i.e. not distributed randomly but show a consistent pattern across social groups; socially produced (and therefore modifiable); and unfair. This could imply that the term "social inequalities in health" carries the connotation of health differences being unfair and unjust.

To address the social gradient it is important to take the social distribution of poor health into account [6] and to consider the social dimension of general policies [7]. Furthermore, equity aspects need to be explicitly communicated and emphasised in overall steering documents to ensure that this aspect is focused and addressed by public health planners in the communities [8]. Graham [9] emphasises that focusing on vulnerable groups is not the same as addressing the social gradient. To promote equity, policies must address the unequal distribution of health determinants between advantaged and disadvantaged groups, as well as the differing

consequences of these policies for different parts of the population. Frohlich and Potvin [10] further argue that health-promoting initiatives aiming at the population in general are less likely to reach vulnerable groups. Instead, initiatives should address barriers to optimum health such as resource distribution and exclusion mechanisms.

Despite a long tradition of social welfare policies in the Nordic countries, an increasing gradient between social groups, also reflected in the health of populations, has been identified [11,12]. Vallgård [13] found clear variations in national public health policies and programmes in the Nordic Countries and argued that differences exist in the way politicians and governments, as a result of their political ideologies, perceive themselves as having a responsibility for the population's health.

The present study aimed to explore if the term equity was applied, and how measures for addressing social inequalities in health and reducing inequity were communicated in selected Nordic documents concerning public health.

Methods

Data collection

Documents from Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden concerning public health were collected and analysed by the authors who have Danish (LP), Finnish (LEK), Norwegian (EF) and Swedish (SR & GS) as their native language. Data included material accessed from websites of ministries and authorities responsible for public health issues, with primary focus on steering documents, action programmes and reports published from 2001 until spring 2013. Data thus included official governmental policy documents as well as reports produced by civil servants or commissioned (and subsequently published) by authorities, but composed by researchers or commissions.

Data analysis

Document analysis may be used in combination with other methods but can also be used as a method in its own right [14-16]. When using documents as a data source, it is important to study them in their context and to understand their purpose. It is likewise important to critically assess the authenticity, credibility and representativity of the documents [16]. The analysis applied the concepts as presented in Table 1.

[Insert Table 1 here]

The selected websites and documents were read several times, before words or (parts of) sentences were identified for answering the following questions formulated in accordance with the aims:

- Is the term equity applied and communicated at the websites and in selected documents?
- Do the measures suggested for addressing social inequalities in health communicate a clear focus on promoting equity and/or on addressing the social gradient?

The selection process and the contents of the documents were continuously discussed among the authors whose backgrounds are: PhD student in public health (SR); PhD in journalism and mass communication (GS); PhDs in public health (LEK & LP) and PhD in political science (EF). In addition, all authors are members of the [Nordic Health Promotion Research Network](#).

Results

The results are presented separately for each country and include an overview of the selected documents and information about the authorities overall responsible for public health issues, before answers to the questions focused in the analysis are provided.

Denmark

The selected material included two policy documents published by Ministry of Health 2002 and 2009 [a,b], one report commissioned by the Ministry 2009 [c], and three reports published 2008-2013 by the Ministry and the Health and Medicines Authority [d,e,f].

At the websites stating the visions and values of the Ministry and the Authority, no wordings corresponding to equity were identified when accessed in March 2013. In the national goals for public health 2002-2010, social equality in health was described as an overarching objective [a]. The Authority supported a number of projects aiming to develop methods for promoting equality in health among ‘socially vulnerable citizens’ [d]. A report commissioned by the Ministry 2009 emphasised that health and illness could not just be seen as results of self-imposed lifestyle but that structural conditions also had a significant influence [c]. The latest reports from 2011 and 2013 include words such as ‘solidarity’ [e] and ‘reasonableness’ [f] which, not least the latter, correspond to the concept of equity (Table 1).

National goals for public health 2002-2010 [a] focused on better health for all Danes, but vulnerable individuals should be provided special assistance [a]. Documents published 2009 [b,c] similarly suggested measures for enabling a healthy lifestyle among vulnerable citizens, but also stated that communities, in order to reduce social inequality, should integrate health in all policies. Since the publication of the WHO report on social determinants of health in 2008 [17], two significant reports have emerged. One published 2011 identified determinants

for social inequality in health and actions for addressing them, including both upstream and downstream measures [e]. Another published 2013 declares the government's intention to reduce inequality in health and address the social gradient because "inequality in health is harmful for the entire society" [f, p.4]. Applying the concept of 'inequality', however, has the consequence that the fairness aspect included in the concept of inequity (Table 1) becomes indistinct.

Finland

The selected material included seven policy documents published 2001-2013 by Ministry of Social Affairs and Health [g-m] and one policy programme by the Government 2007 [n].

When accessed in June 2013 the ministry's website included the term 'equity', while the dominant terms applied in public health documents were 'health inequalities' [g], 'socioeconomic health inequalities' and 'social inequalities' [h-n]. National Action Plan 2008-2013 [h] described socioeconomic health inequalities as systematic differences in health within the population. The plan stated the need to promote equity and ensure need-based availability of public services. The KASTE programme 2012 [k] demanded equity in healthcare. Including health in all policies was seen as a tool to improve population health and promote equity [m]. Action Plan for Gender Equality [j] emphasized equality as a prerequisite for a fair and just society.

The starting point of the programme 'Health 2015' [g] was to promote health and welfare in all areas of society. It aimed at improving the relative position of the most disadvantaged groups and proposed prevention of social exclusion by ensuring equal access to healthcare for all. A number of action plans published 2008-2013 [h,i,j] aimed to narrow the health gap in

the population by means of detailed upstream and downstream measures. In 2010 the vision for social and health policies [k] was described as a society in which people were treated equally, were able to participate, and everyone's health and functional capacity supported. The KASTE programme from 2012 [l] aimed to reduce inequalities in health. It focused on social well-being across the population and paid special attention to improve opportunities for the most vulnerable groups.

Norway

The selected material included three government reports published by Ministry of Health and Care Services 2003, 2007 and 2013 [o,p,q], a report by the Directorate of Health 2010 [r], and the Public Health Act from 2012 [s].

In the English translations of the government papers, the term 'equity'/'inequity' was either used explicitly or it was underlined that social inequalities are unfair and that it is the responsibility of the government to address them. The concept of 'equality' is part of the Ministry's statement about its responsibilities: "Ministry of Health and Care Services is responsible for providing good and equal health and care services for the population of Norway".

Over the last years, reduction of social inequalities in health has been a priority and this has influenced tasks and priorities for all institutions responsible for public health. The Ministry of Health and Care Services has published three government white papers in which health inequalities are in focus. In order to increase equity in health, upstream measures are described as the most important, but in combination with downstream measures [o,p,r]. Report no.20 [q] has a ten year perspective for developing policies and strategies to reduce

health inequities. In this paper the concept of ‘equity’ is used and the main point communicated is that “equity is good public health policy”. A new Public Health Act [s] with main focus on health determinants was implemented in 2012. Reducing inequities in health is the main issue of this act, and health is considered the responsibility of all sectors of society. Both the Norwegian terms for ‘equality’, ‘equity’ and ‘equitable distribution’ are used throughout the document. In 2013 a government white paper was launched [q] which maintains focus on reducing social inequities in the Norwegian population.

Sweden

The selected documents included five documents by the Government 2005-2010 [t-x] and three reports from National Institute of Public Health 2010 and 2013 [y,z,aa].

The term equity was only used to a small extent [x,y] while in others hardly used at all [t,v,w,aa]. The predominant terminologies applied were ‘equal opportunities’ and ‘equal terms’.

The suggested measures for promoting good health on equal conditions for the population included creating equal conditions for good health for all citizens [y] by providing equitable care and investments in neglected areas [u,v]. The overall objectives basically remained the same 2001-2013 [u,v,x], but in 2008 downstream measures such as individual change and responsibility was highlighted [x]. Although not explicitly pronounced, the concept of equality therefore appears to be understood as a mean to reach equity by providing equal possibilities for everyone [x]. During recent years positive health effects are described both for downstream approaches and for upstream policies [z], but initiatives to support and improve the health of vulnerable groups are also stressed [t,w]. In the latest public health

report from 2013 [aa], the social determinants for health and the social gradient related to educational level was described, but so far the government has not communicated a clear commitment to address equity aspects.

Discussion

This study aimed to explore if the term equity was applied and how measures for addressing social inequalities in health and reducing inequity were communicated in selected Nordic public health documents. The Nordic countries are known to have less income inequalities compared to most other countries [18]. Wilkinson and Pickett [19, p.81] argue that more egalitarian countries tend to be healthier; what matters in determining health in a society is how evenly wealth is distributed. During recent years however, increasing social differences and inequalities in health have been identified in the Nordic countries [11,12]. The present study shows that concerns about social inequalities in health were communicated in Nordic public health documents 2001-2013. It was quite different however, if this concern resulted in concrete actions and to which extent equity aspects were emphasised and addressed.

Most strategies applied in Danish, Finnish and Swedish documents focused on the population in general but paid special attention to vulnerable and marginalised groups, even though the measures proposed were little concrete. The latest Danish and Finnish documents communicate a clearer commitment to address social inequalities in health. They emphasise the social gradient and the need to address the social determinants in order to improve the position of disadvantaged groups. Norwegian authorities have paid increasing attention to inequity/social inequalities and their implication for health, and initiated a new law in 2012 which includes both upstream and downstream measures and aims to address the social gradient in a more clear way than seen elsewhere in the Nordic countries.

Even though it is recognized that equity is a normative concept demanding political action, this has not always been explicitly stated or problematized. By focusing on “the fundamental structures of social hierarchy and the socially determined conditions these create” [20, p.1153], however, the WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health [17] strongly emphasised the political aspects of health. Graham [9,21] differs between policies and strategies aimed at the whole population and those targeted at the poorest groups. Policies aimed at the poorest may lead to improvements of the social condition and health situation of these groups, but the social gradient in health will remain unchanged. In other words, there are differences between policies aiming to improve the living conditions and health of the poorest, and policies aimed at reducing the social gradient. The latter requires comprehensive intersectoral action, affecting all socio-economic groups. Reducing the social gradient is therefore likely to be more controversial than developing interventions aimed at disadvantaged groups, since it will require a certain re-distribution among social groups in areas such as taxation and labor market policies [17].

Among the Nordic countries, only Norway has so far implemented concrete policies to address and level the social gradient in order to promote equity in health. Denmark and Finland, however, appear to have started moving in the same direction. Re-distribution among social groups by means of universal welfare policies is a vital implicit mechanism in social democratic welfare states which may improve the situation of vulnerable groups as well as level the social gradient. In order to establish the concept of ‘equity in health’ as a strong concern and a core value within health promotion, it is important to be aware how policies can contribute to enable a reduction of social health differences.

Study validity and limitations

Public health documents including both official governmental policy documents and reports commissioned and published by these authorities but composed by researchers and commissions for example, were the data sources of the present study. Being expressions of formulated intentions and policies or published as part of or foundation to these, such documents may be said to meet the criteria of authenticity and credibility [14].

Representativity is linked to the question whether the documents are typical or atypical. In all four countries we had access to several documents produced at that moment. The issue of meaning was essential in the analysis. This concerned both the explicit and implicit values of the policies presented and was closely linked to the analytical questions asked.

There are limitations, however, in using political documents as sole source of data, since they may provide a very specific approach to a political process. By stating what a government or health authority intends to do, they may be accused of presenting wishes and vague plans rather than solid results. Still, policy documents serve as valuable data sources as they are produced or commissioned by governments and health authorities and thereby have credibility and authority. Last, they serve as guiding principles and tools for action and will thus reflect ideology and intentions regarding the choice of policy instruments [14]. The fact that the five authors collected and analysed texts and documents from their native country may be seen as a potential bias, but also as strength. All authors have been members of a Nordic health promotion network for a number of years and the results were continuously discussed among themselves as well as with colleagues.

Funding and conflicting interests: None

References

- [1] World Health Organization. The Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion. Available at: http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/129532/Ottawa_Charter.pdf (1986, consulted March 2013).
- [2] Rootman I, Goodstadt M, Hyndman B, McQueen DV, Potvin L, Springett J and Ziglio E. (Eds). Evaluation in health promotion. Principles and perspectives. WHO Regional Publications, European series no. 92, 2001.
- [3] World Health Organization. Health Promotion Glossary. Available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/1998/WHO_HPR_HEP_98.1.pdf (1998, consulted March 2013).
- [4] Tones K and Tilford S. Health Promotion – effectiveness, efficiency and equity. 3rd edition. Cheltenham: Nelson Thornes, 2001.
- [5] Whitehead M. The concepts and principles of equity and health. *Health Promot Int* 1991;6:217-28.
- [6] Marmot M. The Status Syndrome: How Social Standing Affects Our Health and Longevity. New York: Henry Holt & Co, 2005.
- [7] Dahlgren G and Whitehead M. Concepts and principles for tackling social inequities in health: Levelling up. WHO Collaborating Centre for Policy Research on Social Determinants of Health, University of Liverpool. *Studies on economic determinants of population health*, no.3, 2006.
- [8] Jansson E, Fosse E. and Tillgren P. National public health policy in a local context – implementation in two Swedish municipalities. *Health Policy* 2011;103:219-27.
- [9] Graham, H. Social determinants and their unequal distribution: clarifying policy understandings. *Milbank Quarterly* 2004; 82(1): 101-24.
- [10] Frohlich KL and Potvin L. The inequality paradox: the population approach and vulnerable populations. *Am J Public Health* 2008;98(2):216–21.

- [11] Mackenbach JP. Socioeconomic inequalities in morbidity and mortality in Western Europe. *Lancet* 1997;349(9066):1955–9.
- [12] Hogstedt C, Moberg H, Lundgren B and Backhans M. Health for all? A critical analysis of public health policies in eight European countries. Östersund: Swedish National Institute of Public Health, 2008.
- [13] Vallgård S. Addressing individual behaviours and living conditions: Four Nordic public health policies. *Scand J Public Health* 2011;39(suppl. 6): 6-10.
- [14] Yin RK. *Case Study Research, Design and Methods*. Newbury Park: Sage, 1989.
- [15] Denscombe M. *The Good Research Guide*. Buckingham: Open University Press, 1998.
- [16] Flick U. *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*. 4th edition. London: Sage, 2009.
- [17] WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Closing the gap in a generation - Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241563703_eng.pdf (2008, consulted March 2012).
- [18] Raphael D. The political economy of health promotion: part 2, national provision of the prerequisites of health. *Health Promotion International* 2013;28(1): 112-132.
- [19] Wilkinson, R., Pickett, K. *The spirit level: Why more equal societies almost always do better*. London: Penguin Books, 2009.
- [20] Marmot M. Achieving health equity: from root causes to fair outcomes. *Lancet* 2007; 370: 1153-63.
- [21] Graham, H. Tackling inequalities in health in England: remedying health disadvantages, narrowing health gaps or reducing health gradients? *Journal of Social Policy* 2004; 33(1): 115-31.

National documents included in the analysis

- a. Ministry of Health. Sund hele Livet (Healthy for Life) – national goals and strategies for public health 2002-2010. Available at:
<http://www.sum.dk/Aktuelt/Publikationer/~media/F7BABB17699E42B4A11623E137D73D0C.ashx> (2002, consulted March 2013).
- b. Ministry of Health. Sundhedspakke (Health Package) – well on the way towards a healthier Denmark. Available at:
<http://www.sum.dk/Aktuelt/Nyheder/Sundhedspolitik/2009/Oktober/~media/Filer%20-%20dokumenter/Sundhedspakken09/Sundhedspakke09.ashx> (2009, consulted March 2013).
- c. Forebyggelseskommissionen (The Prevention Commission). Vi kan leve længere og sundere (We can live longer and healthier). Available at:
http://www.sum.dk/~media/Filer%20-%20Publikationer_i_pdf/2009/Forebyggelseskomrap/Forebyggelseskommissionen__rapport.ashx (2009, consulted March 2013).
- d. Health and Medicines Authority. Sundhed og sygelighed blandt socialt udsatte borgere (Health and ill Health among Socially Vulnerable Citizens). Available at:
http://www.sst.dk/publ/Publ2008/CFF/Socialt_udsatte/sundhed_sygelighed_socialtudsatte.pdf (2008, consulted April 2013).
- e. Health and Medicines Authority. Ulighed i sundhed – årsager og indsatser (Inequality in Health – Causes and Actions). Available at:
http://www.sst.dk/publ/Publ2011/SURA/Ulighed_i_sundhed/UlighedSundhedAarsagerIndsatser.pdf (2011, consulted April 2013).
- f. Ministry of Health. Ulighed i sundhed (Inequality in Health). Available at:
http://www.sum.dk/Aktuelt/Publikationer/Publikationer/~media/Filer%20-%20Publikationer_i_pdf/2013/Ulighed-i-sundhed-2013/Ulighed-i-sundhed-marts-2013.ashx (2013, consulted June 2013).

- g. Sosiaali- ja terveysministeriö. Valtioneuvoston periaatepäätös Terveys 2015 – kansanterveysohjelmasta (Government Resolution on the Public Health Program Health 2015). Available at:
http://www.stm.fi/c/document_library/get_file?folderId=42733&name=DLFE-6214.pdf
(2001, consulted April 2013).
- h. Sosiaali- ja terveysministeriö. Kansallinen terveyserojen kaventamisen toimintaohjelma 2008-2011 (National Action Plan to reduce Health Inequalities 2008-2011). Available at:
http://www.stm.fi/c/document_library/get_file?folderId=28707&name=DLFE-3702.pdf&title=Kansallinen_terveyserojen_kaventamisen_toimintaohjelma_2008_8211_2011_fi.pdf (2008, consulted April 2013).
- i. Sosiaali- ja terveysministeriö. Syrjäytymistä, köyhyyttä ja terveysongelmia vähentävä poikkihallinnollinen toimenpideohjelma (Cross-Sectoral Action Plan for reducing Social Exclusion, Poverty and Health Problems). Available at:
http://www.stm.fi/c/document_library/get_file?folderId=42733&name=DLFE-20715.pdf
(2013, consulted June 2013).
- j. Sosiaali- ja terveysministeriö. Hallituksen tasa-arvo-ohjelma 2012-2015 (Government Action Plan for Gender Equality 2008–2011). Available at:
http://www.stm.fi/c/document_library/get_file?folderId=5197397&name=DLFE-21812.pdf (2012, consulted June 2013).
- k. Sosiaali- ja terveysministeriö. Sosiaalisesti kestävä Suomi 2020. Sosiaali- ja terveystalouden strategia (Socially sustainable Finland 2020. (Strategy for social and health policy). Available at:
http://www.stm.fi/c/document_library/get_file?folderId=39503&name=DLFE-14357.pdf
(2010, consulted April 2013).

- l. Sosiaali- ja terveysministeriö. Sosiaali- ja terveydenhuollon kansallinen kehittämisohjelma KASTE 2012-2015 (The national development programme for social and health care, KASTE 2012-2015). Available at:
http://www.stm.fi/c/document_library/get_file?folderId=5197397&name=DLFE-18303.pdf (2012, consulted April 2013).
- m. Leppo K, Ollila E, Pena S, Wismar M, Cook S (eds.) Health in All Policies - Seizing opportunities, implementing policies. Ministry of Social Affairs and Health: Helsinki, Finland, 2013. Available at:
http://www.stm.fi/c/document_library/get_file?folderId=6511564&name=DLFE-26450.pdf (2013, consulted June 2013)
- n. Valtioneuvosto. Terveyden edistämisen politiikkaohjelma. Hallituksen politiikkaohjelma (Health promotion policy programme of the Government). Available at:
<http://valtioneuvosto.fi/tietoarkisto/politiikkaohjelmat-2007-2011/terveys/ohjelman-sisaeltoe/fi.pdf> (2007, consulted April 2013).
- o. Report No. 16 to the Storting. Prescriptions for a Healthier Norway. A broad Policy for Public Health. Available at:
<http://www.regjeringen.no/nb/dep/hod/dok/regpubl/stmeld/20022003/report-no-16-2002-2003-to-the-storting-.html?id=452203> (2002-2003, consulted June 2013)
- p. Report No. 20 to the Storting. National Strategy to reduce social inequalities in health. Available at: <http://www.regjeringen.no/en/dep/hod/documents/regpubl/stmeld/2006-2007/Report-No-20-2006-2007-to-the-Storting.html?id=466505&epslanguage=en-GB> (2006-2007, consulted June 2013).
- q. Report No. 34 to the Storting. God helse – felles ansvar (Good Health – joint Responsibility). Available at:

- <http://www.regjeringen.no/nb/dep/hod/tema/folkehelse/folkehelsemeldingen-god-helse---felles-a.html?id=724323> (2013, consulted June 2013).
- r. Directorate of Health. Health Promotion – achieving good health for all. Available at: <http://www.helsedirektoratet.no/english/publications/health-promotion--achieving-good-health-for-all-/Publikasjoner/health-promotion-achieving-good-health-for-all.pdf> (2010, consulted June 2013).
- s. Proposition 90 L. to the Storting. Available at: <http://www.regjeringen.no/nb/dep/hod/dok/regpubl/prop/2010-2011/prop-90-l-20102011.html?id=638503> (2010-2011, consulted June 2013).
- t. Sweden's strategy report for social protection and social inclusion 2008-2010. Available at: <http://www.sweden.gov.se/sb/d/574/a/114269> (2008, consulted July 2013)
- u. Mål och prioriteringar för folkhälsa (Objectives and priorities). Available at: <http://www.regeringen.se/sb/d/14847/a/168918> (2013, consulted July 2013.).
- v. Mål för Folkhälsan (Objectives for Public Health). Available at: http://www.riksdagen.se/sv/Dokument-Lagar/Forslag/Propositioner-och-skrivelser/Mal-for-folkhalsan_GQ0335/ (2003, consulted July 2013).
- w. Folkhälsopolitik för jämlikhet i hälsa och hållbar tillväxt (Public health policy for equity in health and sustainable growth). Available at: http://www.riksdagen.se/sv/Dokument-Lagar/Forslag/Propositioner-och-skrivelser/Folkhalsopolitik-for-jamlikhet_GT03205/ (2005, consulted July 2013).
- x. En förnyad folkhälsopolitik (A renewed Public Health Policy). Available at: http://www.riksdagen.se/sv/Dokument-Lagar/Forslag/Propositioner-och-skrivelser/En-fornyad-folkhalsopolitik_GV03110/ (2008, consulted July 2013).
- y. National Institute of Public Health. Folkhälsopolitisk rapport: Framtidens folkhälsa – allas ansvar (Public health of the future- everyone's responsibility). Available at:

<http://www.fhi.se/PageFiles/10555/R2010-16-folkhalsopolitisk-rapport-2010.pdf> (2010, consulted July 2013).

- z. National Institute of Public Health. Svenska lärdomar av Marmot-kommissionens rapport Closing the Gap (Swedish learning from the Marmot Commission's Report Closing the Gap). Available at: <http://www.fhi.se/Om-oss/Uppdrag-och-styrdokument/Regeringsuppdrag/Redovisade-uppdrag/Marmot/> (2010, consulted July 2013).
- aa. National Institute of Public Health. Folkhälsan i Sverige - årsrapport 2013 (Public health in Sweden 2013). Available at: <http://www.fhi.se/PageFiles/17183/Folkhalsan-i-Sverige-Arsrapport-2013.pdf> (2013, consulted July 2013).

Table 1. Clarification of the concepts included in the analysis.

Concept	English¹	Danish²	Finnish³	Norwegian⁴	Swedish⁵
Equity	The quality of being fair and impartial	Retfærdighed, rimelighed	Kohtuuden-, oikeudenmukaisuus	Rettferdighet	Rättfärdighet, rättvisa, fördelning
Inequity	Lack of fairness and justice	Uretfærdighed, urimelighed	Epäoikeudenmukaisuus, kohtuuttomuus	Urettfærdighet	Orättfärdighet, orättvisa
Equality	Being the same in quantity, size, degree, value or status; being evenly and fairly balanced	Lighed, ligestilling, jævnbyrdighed	Yhdenvertaisuus, tasa-arvoisuus, yhdenmukaisuus	Likhet	Jämställdhet, jämlighet, likställighet, likställdhet, likformighet, jämnhet
Inequality	Lack of equality	Ulighed	Erilaisuus, eriarvoisuus	Ulikhet, forskjell	Olikhet, skillnad, ojämlighet

¹ Concise Oxford English Dictionary (Oxford University Press 2002). ² Engelsk-dansk ordbog (Gyldendalske Boghandel 2009). ³ Englanti-Suomi suursanakirja (WSOY 1994). ⁴ Engelsk-norsk blå ordbok (Kunnskapsforlaget 2002). ⁵ Engelsk-svensk ordbok (Norstedts 2011).